



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 10/782,814 | 02/23/2004 | Tatsuo Fukui | 118801 | 5464 |
| 25944 | 7590 | 05/22/2006 | | |
| OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320 | | | EXAMINER AKANBI, ISIAKA O | |
| | | | ART UNIT 2877 | PAPER NUMBER |

DATE MAILED: 05/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------|--------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/782,814 | FUKUI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Isiaka O. Akanbi | 2877 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Isiaka O. Akanbi.

(3) Paul Tsou.

(2) Richard Rosenberger.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 May 2006.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sugaya (US 5680200).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant argued that the elements 114 and 121 of Sugaya would not compensate for wavelength dependency as claimed. The examiner agreed to take it under consideration and would accordingly conduct a further search based upon the claims as filed. Thus, the claim appear to overcome the art of record.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Richard A. Rosenberger
Primary Examiner

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

277 SOUTH WASHINGTON STREET
ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22314

TELEPHONE: (703) 836-6400

FACSIMILE: (703) 836-2787

E-MAIL: EMAIL@OLIFF.COM

WWW.OLIFF.COM

May 11, 2006

FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION COVER SHEETTo: Examiner I. Akanbi
571-273-8658

From: Paul Tsou

Your Ref.: 10/782,814

Our Ref.: 118801

Number of Pages Sent (Including cover sheet): 2

Prepared By: PT

Comments:

Sent By: PT

This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above and may contain privileged or confidential information. If you are not the intended recipient, or the employee or agent responsible to deliver it to the intended recipient, you are notified that any review, dissemination, distribution or copying of this facsimile is prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by facsimile or telephone, and return the facsimile to us by mail at the above address.

Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form

Application No.: 10/782,814 First Named Applicant: Fukui 1
 Examiner: Akanbi Art Unit: 2877 Status of Application: Pending

Tentative Participants:

(1) Mr. Paul Tsou (2) _____

Proposed Date of Interview: May 16, 2006 Proposed Time: 10:00 (AM)

Type of Interview Requested:

(1) ☐ Telephonic (2) ☒ Personal (3) ☐ Video Conference

Exhibit To Be Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ YES ☒ NO

If yes, provide brief description: _____

Issues To Be Discussed

| Issues (Rej., Obj., etc) | Claims/ Fig. #s | Prior Art | Discussed | Agreed | Not Agreed |
|---|--------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| (1) <u>Rejection</u> | <u>Claims 1-13</u> | <u>Sugaya</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (2) <u>Foreign priority documents</u> | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| (3) <u>Consider submitted documents</u> | _____ | _____ | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> | <input type="checkbox"/> |

☐ Continuation Sheet Attached

Brief Description of Arguments to be Presented:

Sygaya did not disclose difference in asymmetry depending on wavelength

Acknowledge receipt of Certified copies of priority documents

consider Jp references in information Disclosure Statement

An interview was conducted on the above-identified application on _____

NOTE:

This form should be completed by applicant and submitted to the examiner in advance of the interview (see MPEP § 713.01).

This application will not be delayed from issue because of applicant's failure to submit a written record of this interview. Therefore, applicant is advised to file a statement of substance of this interview (37 CFR 1.133(b)) as soon as possible.

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature)

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)